



## Sunrise Manor Town Advisory Board

February 13, 2020

### MINUTES

---

Board Members:	Max Carter – Chair – PRESENT Alexandria Malone – Vice Chair –PRESENT Earl Barbeau – PRESENT	Paul Thomas – EXCUSED Briceida Castro- PRESENT Planning- Lorna Phegley
Secretary:	Jill Leiva 702 334-6892 <a href="mailto:jillniko@hotmail.com">jillniko@hotmail.com</a>	
County Liaison:	Beatriz Martinez	

---

I. Call to Order, Pledge of Allegiance, Roll Call, County Staff Introductions

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m.

II. Public Comment: None

III. Approval of January 30, 2020 Minutes

**Moved by: Ms. Malone**  
**Action: Approved**  
**Vote: 4-0 Unanimous**

IV. Approval of Agenda for February 13, 2020

**Moved by: Ms. Malone**  
**Action: Approved**  
**Vote: 4-0/Unanimous**

V. Informational Items: Ms. Martinez informed everyone that Commissioner Segerblom is having a "Meet & Greet" on March 12, 2020 5:30-6:30pm at the Hollywood Rec. Center before the Town Board meeting. Ms. Phegley announced that on March 19<sup>th</sup> 5-6pm Comprehensive planning/ Title 30 is having a Master Plan update meeting and is asking each Town Advisory Board to send a member to give input at the County Government Center in the Pueblo Room. Ms. Castro mentioned that February 15-19 is the Democratic Party caucusing. For more information: 702-737-8683.

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**  
MARILYN KIRKPATRICK, Chair-LAWRENCE WEEKLY, Vice-Chair  
SLARRY BROWN-JAMES GIBSON-JUSTIN JONES-MICHAEL NAFT-TICK SEGERBLOM  
Yolanda King, County Manager

## VI. Planning & Zoning

03/03/20 PC

1. **WS-20-0038-PROLOGIS, LP:**  
**WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS** to allow alternative landscaping in conjunction with an approved distribution center and parking lot on 62.9 acres in an M-1 (Light Manufacturing) (AE-75 & AE-80) Zone. Generally located on the northwest side of Las Vegas Boulevard North and the west side of Hollywood Boulevard (alignment) within Sunrise Manor. MK/pb/jd (For possible action) **03/03/20 PC**  
**Moved by: Ms. Malone**  
**Action: Approved per staff recommendations**  
**Vote: 4-0/Unanimous**
  
  2. **TM-19-500267-BWNV, LLC:**  
**TENTATIVE MAP** consisting of 1 lot on 6.3 acres in an M-D (Designed Manufacturing) (AE-70, AE-75 & APZ-2) Zone. Generally located on the south side of Las Vegas Boulevard North, 220 feet west of Lamb Boulevard within Sunrise Manor. LW/md/jd (For possible action) **03/03/20 PC**  
**Moved by: Mr. Barbeau**  
**Action: Approved per staff recommendations**  
**Vote: 4-0/Unanimous**
  
  3. **ZC-19-1005-BWNV, LLC:**  
**ZONE CHANGE** to reclassify 5.9 acres from R-T (Manufactured Home Residential) (AE-70, AE-75 & APZ-2) Zone to M-D (Designed Manufacturing) (AE-70, AE-75 & APZ-2) Zone.  
**USE PERMIT** to permit vehicle repair in the APZ-2 Overlay District.  
**WAIVERS OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS** for the following: **1)** eliminate street landscaping; and **2)** waive off-site improvements (curb, gutter, sidewalk, street lights).  
**DESIGN REVIEW** for a vehicle repair facility with accessory outside storage on a portion of 5.9 acres. Generally located on the south side of Las Vegas Boulevard North, 220 feet west of Lamb Boulevard within Sunrise Manor (description on file). LW/md/jd (For possible action) **03/03/20 PC**  
**Moved by: Mr. Barbeau**  
**Action: **Approved** the Zone Change, Use Permit & Design Review. **Denied** the Waivers of Development Standards 1 & 2**  
**Vote: 4-0/Unanimous**
- VII. General Business:
- VIII. Public Comment: Mr. Seip commented that comments for any item that's for action on the agenda can be discussed unless the county wants to say no then "no discussion" can be stated. Mr. Seip also had an attachment. Mr. Barbeau mentioned that he attended the TAB members meeting and that he learned that TAB members can request items to be placed on the agenda. However, it does have to be approved. He said that he thinks things like having security cameras at the parks and all the additional charges on water bills should be items that need to be discussed further.
- IX. Next Meeting Date: The next regular meeting will be February 27, 2020
- X. Adjournment  
The meeting was adjourned at 6:55 p.m.

MEMBERS OF THE SUNRISE MANOR TOWN ADVISORY BOARD

I'm handing out a copy of an email to each of you, to the board's secretary and to county liaison. I do want these prepared remarks with this email attached to the minutes of this meeting.

This email was written to Mr. Jeffery Share, the current Director of the county's Department of Finance's, Budget Division. It advances two matters:

The first is another request from me to have access to any guidance that his Division has been provided that would determine the amount of funds left in the manor's fund #2620 as of an ending date of 06/30/2021.

This effort is to reassure me as a manor resident that elected officials, our BCC, have a semblance of awareness and control over the amount of transfers of our manor's ad valorem tax revenue and thus those of all unincorporated town funds.

The second matter is a request to set a precedent for budgeting an expenditure for the acquisition of a Sunrise Manor's town board requested item. In this case, to be reflected for Fund 2620 as a part of the county's 2020-2021 budget. This \$300,000 expenditure would totally be from Sunrise Manor funds which currently contains over \$7 million..

Note that courtesy copies of this email were sent to each of the four BCC districts that influence events within Sunrise Manor.

Although not an afterthought, included was a suggestion that a BCC approved reprogramming of 2019-2020 manor funds would allow acquisition of security camera systems months sooner.

Mr. Share responded to me yesterday evening. He inferred that the Department of Administrative Services lacks any knowledge of this TAB's request for security cameras.

I addressed this and several other matters in my response that I emailed just before I left my home this evening.

Walter Seip



## Walter Seip

---

**From:** Walter Seip [walterseip@cox.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 04, 2020 1:01 PM  
**To:** 'Jeff Share'  
**Cc:** 'District E CC'; 'ccdistrib@ClarkCountyNV.gov'; 'ccdistribd@ClarkCountyNV.gov'; 'ccdistribg@ClarkCountyNV.gov'  
**Subject:** Fund 2620 Budget Guidance & Acquisition of Security Camera Systems

Jeffery Share, Director, Budget Division, Department of Finance

Mr. Share:

Last October I sent you an email that built upon a meeting in Commissioner Segerblom's office that included my request for access to documents related to the formulation of the annual budget for unincorporated county towns. I obviously concluded that I would get a response. I was wrong.

At the suggestion of the State's local Office of Compliance, I mailed a letter to the State's Department of Taxation requesting similar information. Lamentably, there was no response.

This somewhat lengthy email contains my reiterating request for an ability to view budget preparation guidance as allowed by NRS 354.472. It also contains a specific recommendation for an expenditure for security camera systems to be part of the "restricted" budget for Sunrise Manor – Fund 2620.

During the last part of 2019 I attended five different Clark County unincorporated town advisory board meetings. I waited until the end of the meeting's (2<sup>nd</sup>) public comment period. Interestingly, in all cases, I was the only non-board related person still in attendance. My comments addressed that town's 2019-2020 budget with emphasis on the lack of any expenses from the funds derived from that town's ad valorem taxes and underscored the fund's multi-million dollar ENDING FUND BALANCE TOTAL.

Using every one of my allowable 180 seconds to read my script,, I also pointed out that the I had sent an email request to you for an opportunity to see the county guidance that changed that fund balance total figure. I also included a statement that I had not received a response to my request. I provided a copy of my comments to each member of the board, the county liaison, and to the board's secretary (to attach to the minutes). Attached was a copy of that town's "restricted" fund. It seems that attachments to meeting minutes is one of very few available avenues for a resident to convey comments to our BCC.

I considered several alternatives to obtain access; such as a follow-up request, or one for a FOIA, or even legal action. I opted to wait until about now as I'm supposing that 2020-2021 budget information for BCC approval is close to being finalized.

Although none of the 24 TAB members I addressed seemed to know anything about its town's budget, they did express varying degrees of interest when I related the county wide aggregated \$71 million. And slightly more interest when I provided the board with that copy of its page in the county budget documenting the bottom line figure for their town and its zero expenditures.

Recall that I have no objection to the dozen town budgets existing or containing what obviously are town funds. The signatures of the county's commissioners confirm our elected representatives approve this budgetary accounting protocol.

Having been labeled a somewhat "bean counter" at the Pentagon, I knew full well that formulation for a next fiscal year budget was the final effort of the 10 year programming process for Federal funds. As I'm sure you know, Federal budget guidance is continuously being provided and often changing.

That brings me again to my request for access to any guidance, County or State, that determines the "bottom line" of an unincorporated town's budget. I want to see that guidance. An acceptable response would be that there has been no guidance. Of course that means that your Budget Division unilaterally makes these determinations. It is possible that you have been given that authority. Seeing or being provided a copy of that authorization would satisfy my request.

**THE EXPENDITURE REQUEST FOR SUNRISE MANOR:** You may know that I characterize the county's annual effort to comply with NRS 269.590 -- a "charade." Yes; that's the statue directing each TAB to annually submit a request for budget expenditures. I base this largely on the fact that no unincorporated towns' budget ever reflects an expenditure.

Sunrise Manor had a short list of desired items. I'm focusing on one: the boards formal request for security cameras. As the Army proponent for several items of civil works equipment (like fire and refuse trucks), I needed both the number of required items as well as the expected cost for budget preparation. Part of my function was to establish justification and prioritization of the projected figures.

Sunrise Manor's request did neither. But the vast majority of manor folks contacted do agree with the need for cameras. I underscore the support for security cameras from Commissioner Kirkpatrick and from Metro. So much for priority -- it's high.

A determination of the quantity needed has never been made. I do point to County Commissioners recently requiring the use of security cameras as a part of planning and zoning project approval. That installation would be on private property and up to the petitioner.

Often, programming and budgeting quantities are determined by the amount of funds made available. I'm recommending this approach. Costs seem to be in the range of 20-25 thousand dollars per each mobile unit similar to the item wanted by the Sunrise Manor town board. These are GSA published prices with about 10-15 percent added for maintenance, monitoring, and management.

Specific locations are undecided. Priorities seem to favor manor parks, possibly school district playgrounds, and agreeable private locations. This last would include malls -- and I point to one unit recently emplaced by the county on Nellis Plaza (at Stewart).

Since the 2019-2020 Fund 2620's "bottom" line is an approved total of 7,196,003 dollars, I'm suggesting throwing the manor a "bone" in the amount of \$.300,000 -- of its own money.

This would set a precedent (an expenditure appearing on an unincorporated town fund), align with an evolving BCC priority to enhance county resident security, and be in accordance with (per Alex Ortiz) county acquisition guidelines for capital outlays and for services and supplies.



I suggest for Fund 2620; inserting under EXPENDITURES, the label "Acquisition of Security Camera Systems." The quantitative entries would be: in Column (1) ACTUAL PRIOR YEAR ENDING 06/30/2019 – a Subtotal Expenditure reflecting "0" In Column (2) ESTIMATE CURRENT YEAR ENDING 06/30/2020 – a Subtotal Expenditure reflecting "0" And addressing BUDGET YEAR ENDING 06/30/2021; in Column (3) TENTATIVE APPROVED reflecting a Subtotal Expenditure of \$300,000 And in Column (4) FINAL APPROVED (optimistically) the same \$300,000.

Of course, if \$300,000 of the current Fund 2620's \$7 million total was reprogrammed by the BCC – and be reflected in Column (2) -- it would allow acquisition almost a year sooner.

In summary; I'm requesting statutorily allowed access to any county 2020-2021 budget guidance that pertains to "adjusting" unincorporated town ad valorem derived fund balances. And requesting that \$300,000 be budgeted as an expenditure for security camera systems in that same budget's Fund 2620.

Also mentioned was reprogramming the \$300,000 to the current fiscal year to allow sooner acquisition. Of course these camera systems could be purchased using the county's General Fund (1010). Resourcing could be obtained from Fund 1010 or a Schedule T operating transfer from Fund 2620.

Respectfully,

Walter Seip